JS 44 (Rev. 07/16)

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

CIVIL COVER SHEET

17-cV-2273

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the it orn tio on the hed herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. The form yet of the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docked and the second service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docked and the second second service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as purpose of initiating the civil docked second secon

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANT	S	
AQUA PHARMACEUTIO	CALS, LLC,		PARK IRMAT DE d/b/a IRMAT PH/		
(b) County of Residence (b) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTING C			ce of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) CONDEMNATION CASES, USE TO FLAND INVOLVED.	
JAMES L. BÉAUSOLEIL DUANE MORRIS, LLP,	_, JR.; JEFFREY S. P(OLLACK	Allollicys (y Known	,	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		PTF DEF 1	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
	<u> </u>		Citizen or Subject of a C Foreign Country	J 3 G 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT					
CONTRACT		ORTS THE	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 150 No Stockholders' Suits 150 Other Contract 150 Contract Product Liability 166 Franchise	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL NGM15 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	moved from	Appellate Court			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N 28 U.S.C. Sec. 13 Brief description of ca	332 use:	nent by seeking fraudulent p	payments and other impro	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 23	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE N/A		DOCKET NUMBER N/A	MAY 17 2017
DATE 05/17/2017		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD		S.T.
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.				
Address of Plaintiff: Aqua Pharmaceuticals, LLC, 707 Eagleview Boulevard, Suite 200, Extor	n, Pennsylvania 19341	e0 08a		
Address of Defendant: Park Irmat Drug Corp., 2 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016		A°O		27
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Address of Plaintiff's Headquarters in Exton, Penns	sylvania			
(Use Reverse Side For A	Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owni	ng 10% or more of i	ts stock?	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□	N6⊠		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	No.		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: N/A Judge N/A	Date Terminated: N/A			
Case Number. Judge	Date Terminated.			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year.	ear previously terminated action in this	court?		
	Yes□	No⊠		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previou	sly terminated		•
	Yes□	No⊠		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n				
terminated action in this court?	Yes□	No⊠		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?			
as and case a second of successive adversary contains over the present of the second of successive adversary appears, or present of the second of successive adversary appears, or present of the second of the seco	Yes□	No⊠		
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. 🖎 Insurance Contract	and Other Contra	acts	
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal I	njury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation	n		
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal In	jury		
5. □ Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Pers	onal Injury		
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. D Other Personal Inju	ry (Please specif	y)	
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability -	- Asbestos		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. All other Federal Question Cases	(,			
(Please specify)				
ARBITRATION CERT	itegory)			
I, James L. Beausoleil, Jr. counsel of record do hereby certif		civil action case exc	eed the si	ım of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	ocitor, the damages receiverable in this	••••••••••••••		
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought				
DATE: May 17, 2017	PA ID	# 7 4308		
Attorney-at-Law	Att	torney I.D.#	_	
NOTE: A trial de novemill be a trial by jury only if the	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P.	38.		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminate	d action in this cou	rt	
except as noted above.	,	MAY		2017
DATE: May 17, 2017	PA ID	# 74308	11 6	LUI I
DATE:		mey I D #		

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	E-Mail Address		
(215) 979-1120	5) 979-1120 (215) 689-0889 jlbeausoleil@d		norris.com		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
May 17, 2017	James L. Beausoleil, Jr.	Plaintiff Aqua Pharmac	euticals, LLC		
(f) Standard Manageme	nt – Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.			
commonly referred t	t – Cases that do not fall into track o as complex and that need specia rse side of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	()		
(d) Asbestos – Cases inv exposure to asbestos	volving claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()		
(c) Arbitration - Cases i	required to be designated for arbit	ration under Local Civil Rule:	53.2. ()		
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Services	ses requesting review of a decision denying plaintiff Social Security	n of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	()		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ses brought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	41 through § 2255.	()		
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:			
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and side of this form.) In the designation, that defend the plaintiff and all othe	Civil Justice Expense and Delay a Case Management Track Design serve a copy on all defendants. (Sethe event that a defendant does nant shall, with its first appearance parties, a Case Management Trabelieves the case should be assign	ation Form in all civil cases at se § 1:03 of the plan set forth on ot agree with the plaintiff reg submit to the clerk of court a ck Designation Form specifying	the time of the reverse arding said and serve on		
Park Irmat Drug Corp Defendant	o. d/b/a Irmat Pharmacy : :	NO.	2278		
Aqua Pharmaceuticals Plaintiff,	:	ON			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Aqua Pharmaceuticals, LLC



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AQUA PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,

17 223

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.

PARK IRMAT DRUG CORP d/b/a IRMAT PHARMACY,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Aqua Pharmaceuticals, LLC ("Aqua"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, for its Complaint against Park Irmat Drug Corp d/b/a Irmat Pharmacy ("Irmat"), alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Aqua is a limited liability company organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Pennsylvania. Its sole member is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 2. Irmat is a New York corporation with a principal place of business located at 2 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

3. This Court has jurisdiction by virtue of 28 USC § 1332 based upon the diversity of the citizenship of the parties and the amount in controversy, which exclusive of costs and interest, exceeds \$75,000.

4. Venue is appropriate in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Aqua's claims occurred in this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 5. On August 7, 2014, Aqua entered into a Pharmacy Services and Dispensing Agreement (the "Agreement") with Irmat.
- 6. Pursuant to the Agreement, Aqua agreed to sell to Irmat, and Irmat agreed to purchase from Aqua, certain pharmaceutical products for the purpose of Irmat dispensing those products to consumers who provide a valid prescription for the product.
- 7. Pursuant to the Agreement, Irmat was permitted to invoice Aqua for processing fees in consideration of certain services provided by Irmat under the Agreement, such as prescription intake and adjudication, prior authorization for insurance coverage and drug utilization review services, and "Charge Back Amounts" related to Irmat's purchase of pharmaceuticals from Aqua, which Aqua was contractually required to pay Irmat.
- 8. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, there could be only one "Charge Back Amount" for each prescription filled under the Agreement.
- 9. Additionally, the Agreement defined "Charge Back Amount" as "the amount by which the Price paid by Pharmacy [Irmat] for such Product exceeds the Pharmacy Receipts received, or to be received, by the Pharmacy for such Product as dispensed provided that in no event will the Charge Back Amount exceed the Price paid to Aqua by the Pharmacy for such Product." The Agreement defined "Pharmacy Receipts" as the "total payments received, or to be received, by the Pharmacy from third party payers on account of the dispensing of such Product." For example, if Irmat purchased a product from Aqua for \$150 ("Price), and the total payments received by Irmat on account of its sale of the product were \$100 ("Pharmacy

Receipts"), Irmat would be entitled to receive a payment of \$50 from Aqua, which is the amount by which the Price exceeded the Pharmacy Receipts. In this example, the \$50 amount would be the Charge Back Amount.

- 10. In 2016 and 2017, Aqua conducted an audit of Irmat's operations under the Agreement, including Irmat's billing practices relating to the processing fees and Charge Back Amounts invoiced to Aqua.
- 11. To Aqua's astonishment, the results of the audit revealed that Irmat materially breached the Agreement and overbilled Aqua by many millions of dollars, which Aqua paid.
- 12. Among other things, Aqua discovered that, on numerous occasions, Irmat double billed Aqua, meaning that Irmat, on numerous occasions, billed and was paid Charge Back Amounts and processing fees twice for a single Product sale.
- 13. The audit also revealed that, contrary to the clear and unambiguous terms of the Agreement, Irmat had, on numerous occasions, improperly invoiced Aqua for, and was paid, Charge Back Amounts for Product sales where Irmat's Pharmacy Receipts equaled or exceeded the Price Irmat paid Aqua.
- 14. In addition, it was discovered that, contrary to the clear and unambiguous terms of the Agreement, Irmat had, on numerous occasions, improperly invoiced Aqua for, and was paid, Charge Back Amounts that were in excess of the amounts permitted under the Agreement, by invoicing a Charge Back Amount that was greater than the difference between the Price Irmat paid Aqua for the product and Irmat's Pharmacy Receipts from the sale of the product.
- 15. Other material breaches of the Agreement were also discovered including breaches of Section 2.1, which states that "Pharmacy shall not dispense any Products to Consumers for cash and will not charge or accept any Co-Payments, or any other payments, from

any Consumer, regardless of the terms of such Consumer's insurance or prescription benefits coverage."

16. Contrary to that provision, numerous transactions reviewed in the audit show that Irmat did, in fact, accept cash payments from consumers, and that Irmat improperly sought and was paid processing fees and Charge Back Amounts for those transactions.

COUNT I BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 17. Aqua incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as though set forth fully herein.
 - 18. Aqua and Irmat entered in the Agreement.
 - 19. Aqua performed each of its obligations under the Agreement.
- 20. As alleged above, Irmat materially breached the Agreement by, *inter alia*: (1) double billing Aqua by seeking duplicate Charge Back Amounts and processing fees for the same Product sale transactions; (2) improperly billing Aqua for Charge Back Amounts where Irmat's Pharmacy Receipts equaled or exceeded the Price Irmat paid to Aqua; (3) improperly billing Aqua for Charge Back Amounts greater than the difference between the Price Irmat paid Aqua for the product and Irmat's Pharmacy Receipts from the sale of the product; and (4) breaching Section 2.1 of the Agreement.
 - 21. Aqua has suffered significant damages as a result of Irmat's breaches.

COUNT II FRAUD

22. Aqua incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs as though set forth fully herein.

- 23. Under the Agreement, Irmat was permitted to be paid Charge Back Amounts, but only as permitted by, and in accordance with terms of, the Agreement.
- 24. Despite this, Irmat knew that it was invoicing Charge Back Amounts where (1) the amount Irmat was paid for dispensing the pharmaceutical product at issue equaled or exceeded what Irmat paid Aqua for the product and (2) the Charge Back Amount was greater than the difference between the Price Irmat paid Aqua for the product and Irmat's Pharmacy Receipts from the sale of the product.
- 25. In order to enrich itself at Aqua's expense, Irmat knowingly and intentionally concealed or misrepresented these facts, intending for Aqua to rely thereon, so that Irmat could falsely bill Aqua for impermissible Charge Back Amounts.
 - 26. Aqua has suffered damages as a result of Irmat's fraudulent conduct.

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Aqua respectfully requests that judgment be entered in favor of Aqua and against Irmat for the amount of Aqua's damages in a sum exceeding \$75,000, together with punitive damages for Aqua's tortious and fraudulent misconduct, interest, and costs.

JURY DEMAND

Agua respectfully demands that all issues be determined by a jury.

Respectfully submitted,

DUANE MORRIS LLP

James L. Beausoleil, Jr. (74308) Jeffrey S. Pollack (91888) 30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Tel. (215) 979-1120/1299

Fax (215) 689-0889/4942

jlbeausoleil.duanemorris.com jspollack@duanemorris.com